			-			
						GC-335
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY	STATE BA	R NUMBER:		FOR	COURT USE ON	ILY
NAME:				FILE IN C	CONFIDENTIAL F	OLDER
FIRM NAME:						
STREET ADDRESS:						
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:				
TELEPHONE NO.:	FAX NO.:					
EMAIL ADDRESS:						
ATTORNEY FOR (name):						
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, O	COUNTY OF					
STREET ADDRESS:						
MAILING ADDRESS:						
CITY AND ZIP CODE:						
BRANCH NAME:						
CONSERVATORSHIP OF THE	PERSON ES <sup>-</sup>	TATE OF		CASE NUMBER:		
	CONSERVATEE	PROPOSED CONS	SERVATEE			
	CAPACITY ASSESS PROBATE CONSER			HEARING DATE:	TIME:	DEPT. or ROOM:
This form is intended to record the record usions about the person's ment petitioner completes items 1 and 2 to <b>PETITIONER'S INSTRUCTIONS TO</b>	al functioning and capa give instructions to the	acity, and to submit th	he results a	and conclusions u	under oath to	o the court. The
1. Assessments requested. In add (pages 5–6) to assess the person						
a. Item 20: Give or withhol	d informed consent to	medical treatment sp	ecified in t	he petition. (Prob	. Code, §§ 8	311, 813, 2357.)
b. Item 21: Give or withhol	d informed consent to	medical treatment de	enerally. ( <i>I</i> o	. 88 811, 1880-	1891, 2355.	)
c. Item 22: Give or withhol elderly. ( <i>Id.,</i> §§ 811, 235	ld informed consent to	-				
d. Item 23: Give or withhol neurocognitive disorder	ld informed consent to			propriate for care	and treatme	ent of major
Note to petitioner: Provide a copreference. Do <i>not</i> attach <i>Confide</i>	py of the petition to the	clinician who will be	assessing	the person name	ed in item 2 f	or the clinician's
2. Person to be assessed						
a. Name:						
a. Name. b. Address:						
Telephone number:		Email address:				
•						
c. Date of birth:						
d. Highest level of education cor	npleted (grade or degr	ree):				
<ul><li>e. Marital or partnership status:</li><li>f. Preferred language:</li></ul>	single	married/partnered			widowed tes	
TO THE CLINICIAN: Provide your co	ontact and license infor	mation below.				
3. a. Name:						
b. Office address:						
Telephone number:		Email address:				

I am a California-licensed physician. License no: 4. a. 🗌 b.

I am a California-licensed psychologist practicing within the scope of my license. License no: I have at least two years' experience diagnosing major neurocognitive disorders (including dementia). years.

c. I have been practicing as a licensed physician or psychologist for

				GC-335
CONS (name		SON ESTATE	OF	CASE NUMBER:
(name		SERVATEE PROI	POSED CONSERVATEE	
Infor	nation about the assessment			
	The person named in item 2			uing care and treatment.
	Date of the examination on which the examined the person: Time spent in most recent examination		or, if based on multiple	examinations, the date I most recently
7. M a. b. c.	y responses to the questions and pro My examination of this person Multiple examinations of this Administration of standardize and dates of administration a	n for the purpose of asses person for purposes of ge d examina <u>tions</u> or tools th	ssing the person's abilit neral health care and r	ties and capacities. medical treatment. n's mental functioning. All tests administered
d. e.	My review of the person's me Discussions with other practit below in Attach	ioners responsible for pro	widing health care to th	ne person. These discussions are described
f.	Discussions with team memb are described below	ers or other professionals in Attachment 7f.	who participated in the	e person's assessment. These discussions
g	Discussions with the person's	family or friends; names	and relationships are g	given below in Attachment 7g.
h.	Other sources of information,	which are described	below in A	ttachment 7h.
		REPORT OF	ASSESSMENT	
prom				ssessment does not address a question or blank. Secure or destroy your copy of the
				state of the physical and mental health of the their mental function is given in items 16–18
	Overall physical health is likely to:		Remain stable	Poor I don't know Deteriorate I don't know I I don't know
a. b.	• · · · · · · · · · · · ·	uated in weeks. disorders (current <i>Diagn</i> e	Remain stable	oor I don't know Deteriorate I don't know Inual of Mental Disorders) are listed

			GC-335
1	ERVATORSHIP OF THE PERSON ESTATE OF	CASE NUMBER:	
(name)	. CONSERVATEE PROPOSED CONSERVAT	EE	
	<b>II. MENTAL FUNCTIONING</b> This part documents the existence and extent of an functioning of the person described in item 2. Deficits are indicated in items 10–7		sment of the
	<b>a</b> = no deficit; <b>b</b> = mild deficit; <b>c</b> = moderate deficit; <b>d</b> = major deficit or no func	tion; <b>e</b> = not applicable or not	assessed
10. <b>Ale</b>	ertness and attention (ability to recognize and react to a stimulus)		
	Level of arousal or consciousness (deficit may be shown by lethargy, lack of res <b>a b</b>	ponse without constant stimul	ation, or stupor)
	Orientation to:(1) Time (When? Year, month, day, hour)(2) Place (Where? State, city, address)(3) Person (Who? Name, relationship)(4) Situation (What? How? Why?)(4) Situation to and concentrate on tasks (ability to attend to a stimulus; concentrate on tasks)	c d c d c d c d c d c d c d c	e e e e ef time periods)
	tes:	c d	e e
	<b>ormation processing</b> Memory		
	(1) Immediate recall <b>a b</b>	c d	e
	(2) Short-term memory and learning (the ability to encode, store, and retrieve in <b>a b</b>	nformation)	e
	(3) Long-term memory (ability to remember information from the past)		
b.	Understanding (the ability to receive and accurately process information given in	written spoken visual or oth	e e
D.	a b		
C.	Communication (the ability to express oneself and indicate preferences in speed	h, writing, signs, pictures, etc.	)
d.	Visual-spatial reasoning (recognition of familiar objects; spatial perception, probl		
ч.			e
e.	Quantitative reasoning (the ability to understand basic quantities and make simp	le calculations)	
f.	Verbal reasoning (the ability to compare options, to reason using abstract conce	c d	e e
1.	outcomes) a b		e e
g.	Executive functioning (the ability to plan, organize, and carry out actions (assum self-interest)	ing physical ability) in one's or	wn rational <b>e</b>
Not	tes:		
	ought processes	nemerated an investment (0.1	intrin e)
а.	Organization of thinking (deficit may be demonstrated by severely disorganized,	nonsensical, or incoherent thi	inking)
b.	Correspondence of thoughts to reality (deficit may be demonstrated by hallucina	tions or delusions)	
C.	Control of thoughts (deficit may be demonstrated by uncontrollable, repetitive, or	intrusive thoughts)	e

Notes:

a b c

e

d

		GC-335
CONSERVATORSHIP OF THE PERSON (name):	ESTATE OF	CASE NUMBER:
CONSERVATEE	PROPOSED CONSERVATEE	
<b>a</b> = no deficit; <b>b</b> = mild deficit; <b>c</b> = moderate	deficit; <b>d</b> = major deficit or no function	; <b>e</b> = not applicable or not assessed
13. <b>Ability to modulate mood and affect</b> (deficit ma inappropriate in kind or degree to the circumstanc Notes:		c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c
14. <b>Ability to accept and cooperate with appropria</b> illness or disorder, acting without regard for conse Notes:		
15. <b>Variation</b> (some or all of the deficits noted above Yes No I don't know N	vary in frequency, severity, or duration Variation of deficits is described	n): ] below in Attachment 15.
Possible Temporary or Reversible Causes of Men         16. Medications         a. Is the person currently taking any medication-         Yes       No         I don't know         If yes, each of those medications, with dosage	—prescription or nonprescription—that Description or nonprescription—that Description of the second seco	may impair the person's mental functioning?
Name       Dosage/Schedule         b. An explanation of the nature and severity of the mature and severity of the mat	Indications The impairment that each listed medicat The No medications listed.	ion can cause is given
17. <b>Reversible causes</b> Have temporary or reversible		
<ul> <li>18. Physical or emotional factors Are there physical or others) present that could diminish the person's</li> <li>Yes No I don't know</li> <li>Applicable physical or emotional factors are</li> </ul>	s capabilities and that could improve w	
Effect on Ability to Perform Everyday Activities		
19. In my professional opinion, the mental function de impair the person's ability to perform some or all a instrumental activities of daily living (e.g., shoppin medication). More details about specific activities	activities of daily living (e.g., eating, co ng, scheduling appointments, paying bi	ills, using a credit card or checks, taking (check all that apply):
I do not have enough information to form an	n opinion on this issue.	
	TIAL CAPACITY ASSESSMENT	

CONSERVATORSHIP OF THE	PERSON ESTATE OF	CASE NUMBER:
(name):		
	CONSERVATEE PROPOSED CONSERVATE	E

**PART III. CAPACITY TO GIVE OR WITHHOLD INFORMED CONSENT** This part documents my professional conclusions about each issue checked in item 1. The conclusions are based on my assessment of the level of the person's mental functions described in Part II.

20. Capacity to give or withhold informed consent to medical treatment specified in the petition (Probate Code, § 2357.)

The following medical treatment has been recommended for the person (describe):

Based on my assessment of the person's applicable mental functions and abilities, it is my professional opinion that:

- a. The person *has* the capacity to give or withhold informed consent to the recommended medical treatment because the person can do *all* of the following: (1) respond knowingly and intelligently to questions about the treatment; (2) participate in the treatment decision by means of a rational thought process; and (3) understand (A) the nature and seriousness of the diagnosed disorder, (B) the nature of the recommended treatment, (C) the probable degree and duration of and benefits and risks of the recommended treatment, (D) the consequences of lack of treatment, and (E) the nature, risks, and benefits of any reasonable alternatives to the recommended treatment.
- b. The person *lacks* the capacity to give or withhold informed consent to the recommended medical treatment because the person *cannot do at least one* of the following: (1) respond knowingly and intelligently to questions about the treatment, (2) participate in the treatment decision by means of a rational thought process, or (3) understand at least one of the following: (A) the nature and seriousness of the diagnosed disorder, (B) the nature of the recommended treatment, (C) the probable degree and duration of and benefits and risks of the recommended treatment, (D) the consequences of lack of treatment, or (E) the nature, risks, and benefits of any reasonable alternatives to the recommended treatment.
   These conclusions are further explained below in Attachment 20b.
- c. I do not have enough information to form an opinion on this issue.
- 21. Capacity to give or withhold informed consent to medical treatment generally (Probate Code, §§ 811, 1881.)

Based on my assessment of the person's applicable mental functions and abilities, it is my professional opinion that:

- a. The person *has* the capacity to give or withhold informed consent to medical treatment because the person can do *all* of the following: (1) respond knowingly and intelligently to questions about at least some forms of medical treatment; (2) participate in at least some treatment decisions by means of a rational thought process; and (3) understand (A) the nature and seriousness of some diagnosed disorders, (B) the nature of some recommended treatments, (C) the probable degree and duration of and benefits and risks of at least some forms of treatment, (D) the consequences of lack of at least some forms of treatment, and (E) the nature, risks, and benefits of any reasonable alternatives to at least some forms of treatment.
- b. The person *lacks* the capacity to give or withhold informed consent to any form of medical treatment because *either* (1) the person is unable to respond knowingly and intelligently to questions about their medical treatment *or* (2) the person is unable to participate in treatment decisions by means of a rational thought process, which means the person cannot understand at least one of the following: (A) the nature and seriousness of any illness, disorder, or defect that they have or may develop; (B) the nature of any medical treatment that is or may be recommended by their health-care providers; (C) the probable degree and duration of any benefits and risks of any medical intervention that is or may be recommended by the person's health-care providers and the consequences of lack of treatment; or (D) the nature, risks, and benefits of any reasonable alternatives.

The person's lack of capacity to give or withhold informed consent is linked to one or more mental function deficits described in Part II.

These	conclusions	are f	further	explaine	d
111030	0011010310113	arci	iuiuici	CAPIAILIC	u

below in Attachment 21b.

c. I do not have enough information to form an opinion on this issue.

GC-335

	GC-335
CONS (name	RVATORSHIP OF THE PERSON ESTATE OF CASE NUMBER:
	CONSERVATEE PROPOSED CONSERVATEE
22.	Capacity to give or withhold informed consent to placement in a secured-perimeter residential facility for persons with major neurocognitive disorders (Probate Code, § 2356.5.)
a.	The person has a major neurocognitive disorder (such as dementia) as defined in the current edition of the <i>Diagnostic and</i> Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. See Part I of this form for more information.
b.	The person needs or would benefit from placement in a restricted and secure environment for the reasons (for example, wandering, violence, or rejecting care) explained below in Attachment 22b.
c.	<ul> <li>Based on my assessment of the person's relevant mental functions and abilities, it is my professional opinion that:</li> <li>The person <i>has</i> the capacity to give or withhold informed consent to this placement.</li> <li>The person <i>lacks</i> the capacity to give or withhold informed consent to this placement. The mental function deficit or deficits described in Part II significantly impair the (proposed) conservatee's ability to understand and appreciate the consequences of giving consent to placement in a restricted, secured-perimeter residential facility.</li> <li>These conclusions are further explained below in Attachment 22c.</li> </ul>
d.	The proposed placement in a locked or secured-perimeter facility is is <i>not</i> the least restrictive environment appropriate to the person's needs.
e.	I do not have enough information to form an opinion on this issue.
23.	Capacity to give or withhold informed consent to administration of medication for treatment of major neurocognitive disorders (Probate Code, § 2356.5.)
a.	The person has a major neurocognitive disorder (such as dementia) as defined in the current edition of the <i>Diagnostic and</i> Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. See Part I of this form for more information.
b.	The person needs or would benefit from appropriate medications for the care and treatment of major neurocognitive disorders (including dementia). Any medications and the need or potential benefit of each are described below in Attachment 23b.
C.	<ul> <li>Based on my assessment of the person's relevant mental functions and abilities, it is my professional opinion that:</li> <li>The person <i>has</i> the capacity to give or withhold informed consent to the administration of medications appropriate for the care and treatment of major neurocognitive disorders (including dementia).</li> <li>The person <i>lacks</i> the capacity to give or withhold informed consent to the administration of medications appropriate to the care and treatment of major neurocognitive disorders (including dementia).</li> <li>The person <i>lacks</i> the capacity to give or withhold informed consent to the administration of medications appropriate to the care and treatment of major neurocognitive disorders (including dementia). The mental function deficit or deficits described in Part III significantly impair the (proposed) conservatee's ability to understand and appreciate the consequences of giving consent to the administration of medications for the care and treatment of major neurocognitive disorders (including dementia).</li> <li>These conclusions are further explained below in Attachment 23c.</li> </ul>
d.	I do not have enough information to form an opinion on this issue.
24.	] Other information regarding my assessment of the person's mental functions, any deficits in those functions, and any resulting significant impairments to the person's ability to understand and appreciate the consequences of acts or decisions is given in Attachment 24.
25. Nu	iber of pages attached:
	e under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
Date:	
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)
GC-335 [	v. January 1, 2025] CONFIDENTIAL CAPACITY ASSESSMENT AND Page 6 of 6 DECLARATION—PROBATE CONSERVATORSHIP